UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,829	01/12/2007	Nicolai Bovin	5366-7	5486
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/22/201 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	BLAND, LAYLA D		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/593,829	BOVIN ET AL.
Examiner initiation interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LAYLA BLAND	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>LAYLA BLAND</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard Mitchard</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Layla Bland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The structure (XIII) is incorrect in claim 186 and on pages 7 and 12 of the specification, particularly with respect to the fucose residue which lacks an oxygen in the ring and/or contains a hydroxyl group in place of the methyl group. The examiner asked Mr. Mitchard to submit a supplemental amendment to correct the structures..